

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

RANDOLPH L. MOORE,

Petitioner,

V.

WILLIAM GITTERE, *et al.*,

Respondents.

Case No. 2:13-cv-0655-JCM-DJA

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION
FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
(ECF NO. 117)**

In this capital habeas corpus action, the respondents filed a motion to dismiss No. 71) on April 2, 2020. The petitioner, Randolph L. Moore, was then due to respond to that motion by June 1, 2020. See Order entered February 5, 2019 (ECF No. 100 days for response). On a motion by Moore, the Court extended that due date by 30 days, to July 31, 2020. See Order entered June 5, 2020 (ECF No. 116).


On July 31, 2020, Moore filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 117), requesting a second 60-day extension of time, to September 29, 2020, to respond to the motion to dismiss. Moore's counsel states that the extension of time is necessary because of his obligations in other cases. Respondents do not oppose the motion for extension of time.

The Court finds that Moore's motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for an extension of time. The Court will grant this second 60-day extension of time, as requested. However, the Court will not look favorably upon any motion to further extend this deadline.

1 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Petitioner's Motion for Extension of Time
2 (ECF No. 117) is **GRANTED**. Petitioner will have until and including **September 29,**
3 **2020**, to respond to the motion to dismiss.

4 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, in all other respects, the schedule for further
5 proceedings set forth in the order entered February 5, 2019 (ECF No. 51) will remain in
6 effect.

7
8 DATED August 7, 2020.

9
10 
11

12 JAMES C. MAHAN,
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE